

REMARKS

The Applicants note the Office indication of allowable subject matter in Claims 4, 16 and 26.

The Applicant has amended Claims 1, 13 and 24 to include the limitations of Claims 4, 16 and 26 respectively.

35 U.S.C. §112 2nd paragraph

The Office rejected Claims 13-23 as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 13 has been amended to remove the anomalous "I". Thus the rejections to Claims 13-23 are rendered moot.

Claim 21 has been amended to read "80 to 110 degrees". The Offices contention that the claim is indefinite since the specification refers to 80-100 degrees is improper. Claim 21 clearly defines the limitation of the claim. Furthermore, a §112 1st paragraph rejection would also be improper, in that Claim 21 was originally filed and therefore forms part of the disclosure. The rejection of Claim 21 under 35 U.S.C. §112 2nd paragraph is improper and must be withdrawn.

35 U.S.C. § 102(e)

The rejections of Claims 1-3, 5, 10-15, 17-18, 23-25, 27-29 and 31-32 are rendered moot by the incorporation of dependent claims 4, 16 and 26 into their respective independent claims.

35 U.S.C. § 103

The Office has improperly rejected Claims 6-9, 19-22 and 30 as being unpatentable over Wang.

While the rejections are rendered moot by the Applicant's amendment, the rejection is improper on its face. No teaching of the claim elements are found in Wang and the references recited as including those limitations were not included in the rejection. Furthermore, no motivation to combine the references was included in the rejection. Therefore, the obviousness type rejection premised solely on Wang does not establish a prima facie case of obviousness and must be withdrawn.

CONCLUSION

The Office Acknowledges that the subject matter in Claims 4, 16 and 26 are not anticipated nor rendered obvious over the prior art. The Applicant has amended the

independent claims to include these features. Therefore, the Applicant submits the application is in condition for allowance, including Claims 1-3, 5-15, 17-25, and 27-32.

Respectfully submitted,



Patrick C. Muldoon Reg. No. 47,343

DUANE MORRIS LLP
1667 K Street, N.W., Suite 700
Washington, DC 20006
Telephone: (202) 776-7800
Telecopier: (202) 776-7801

Dated: March 10, 2006